

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6259
09/442,685	11/18/1999	BOBBY MAL PHILLIPS	70493-(US06)	
21878	7590 05/09/2002			•
KENNEDY (	COVINGTON LOBDEI	EXAMINER		
	IERICA CORPORATE C	WATKINS III, WILLIAM P		
CHARLOTTE	, NC 28202-4006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	17-
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2002	( -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							HS-12		
				Application	nN.	Applicant(s)			
				09/442,685		PHILLIPS ET AL	PHILLIPS ET AL.		
	Offic	Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit			
				William P. \		1772			
Period fo		LING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ears on the	c ver sheet with	h the correspondence a	address		
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED MAILING Designs of time is SIX (6) MONT is period for reply or to reply with reply received to	O STATUTORY PERIOD IN COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THE PROPERTY	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. 30) days, a reply statutory period will y will, by statute, o	6(a) In no ever within the statut Il apply and will cause the applic	ot, however, may a report of thirty expire SIX (6) MONT attorn to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered tim  HS from the mailing date of this  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. communication.		
1)🖂	Respons	ive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>24 Ja</u>	anuary 200	<u>2</u> .				
2a)⊠	This acti	on is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This	s action is r	on-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims									
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 145-166 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>152</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>145-151,153 and 155-166</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s)	154 is/are objected to.							
•		are subject to restri	iction and/or	election re	quirement.				
	ion Paper								
•	-	ication is objected to by the				· _ ·			
10)∐ ⁻		ng(s) filed on is/are							
4A) 🗆 :		t may not request that any of							
11)[		sed drawing correction file				sapproved by the Exam	mer.		
42)□:	• •	ed, corrected drawings are re	•		ce action.				
,		or declaration is objected t	U Dy IIIE Exa	1111111 <b>C</b> 1.					
•		J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			10	110(a) (d) or (f)			
		dgment is made of a clair	n for foreign	priority unit	iei 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).			
a)(		☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		h h					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
* 5		pies of the certified copies application from the Inter ached detailed Office acti	national Bur	eau (PCT f	Rule 17.2(a)).		ai Stage		
14) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowled	gment is made of a claim	for domestic	priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provision	nal application).		
	<i>,</i> —	ranslation of the foreign la Igment is made of a claim							
Attachmen	it(s)								
2) Notic	e of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review ( osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)				ummary (PTO-413) Paper I formal Patent Application (I			

Application/Control Number: 09/442,685

Art Unit: 1772

## Page 2

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 156 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis for the phrase in claim 156 "said regions of relatively low liquid resistivity".

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 145-151, 153, 155, 157-166 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osborn, III et al. (U.S. 5,647,862).

Application/Control Number: 09/442,685

Art Unit: 1772

Osborn '862 teaches the use of an absorbent strip to transport liquid to places where it can penetrate a fluid directing strip (which is at least partially impermeable or resistant to liquid) and be absorbed into an absorbent layer (abstract). The absorbent strip may comprise capillary channel fibers (col. 9, lines 1-2). The instant invention claims use of a capillary system to distribute liquid and a resistance layer under the distribution layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art to have selected capillary fibers from those taught by Osborn '862. It would further have been

obvious to orient the fluid direction layer and perforate it at

desired locations in order to direct fluid to particular

fluid transfer per unit weight of fiber.

locations in a given absorbent application. Regarding claim

153, capillary channel fiber bundles should have a high rate of

- 4. Claim 156 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claim 152 is allowed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/442,685

Art Unit: 1772

6. Claim 154 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: there is no suggestion in Osborn '862 to use the particular type of fiber bundle, specified in claims 152 and 154, in combination with the other recited limitations of claims 152 and 154.
  - 8. Applicant's arguments filed 24 January 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Osborn, II et al. does not teach a bundle of parallel fibers. The examiner first notes that not all of the pending claims have limitations directed to parallel fibers. Most simple require that the liquid be transported parallel to the top layer, which Osborn, III et al. teaches, since the liquid is distributed along the length of the liquid transport means to the ends of the absorbent core. Regarding the ones that do claim capillary channel fibers, transport in these fibers, is known to be in channels that run along the

Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

length of the fibers. It would therefore have been obvious to orient the long axis of each of these fibers in a common desired direction, such as the end of the absorbent core, as taught by Osborn, II et al., in order to better transport fluid in that direction.

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 703-308-2420. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally

Application/Control Number: 09/442,685 Page 6

Art Unit: 1772

be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

William P. Walturat

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III
PRIMARY EXAMINER

WW/ww May 6, 2002